

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,511	10/03/2003	Philippe Schottland	GEPL.P-079	2510
43247	7590 12/01/2005		EXAMINER	
OPPEDAH	L & LARSON LLP - :	LEE, GUIYOUNG		
PO BOX 506		:	APTINIT	DA DED AUMADED
DILLON, C	O 80435		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,511	SCHOTTLAND ET AL.	(pw)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Guiyoung Lee	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS	3			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	···					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>43-58</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42.59 and 60</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ad in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
oce the attached detailed office detect for a not	of the certified dopled not reconve	,				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s)  1) \( \osemall \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1103</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,511

Art Unit: 2875

Page 2

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim1-42 and 59-60, drawn to an automobile headlamp, classified in class 362, subclass 520.
  - II. Claim43-58, drawn to a lens, classified in class 362, subclass 326.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions an automobile headlamp and a lens are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because light emitted from the light source can pass through the lens without grooves or protrusions formed on the inner surface of the lens. The subcombination has separate utility such as a lens having a concave outer surface and a flat or convex inner surface and edge surface wherein the lens has grooves or protrusions formed on the inner surface.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mariana Larson on 15 November 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of an automotive

Art Unit: 2875

headlamp, claims 1-42 and 59-60. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 43-58 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1:The term "SAE standards" are indefinite since the organizations implementing standards meets regularly and have the authority to modify standards, any connection a claim may have to these standards may have varying scope over time.

Re claim 2-42 are necessarily rejected because of their dependency.

8. Claims 6, 16, 25, 34, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,511 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

Re claims 6, 16, 25, 34, and 40: The chemical groups associated with "derivatives" are indefinite since derivatives dose not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-3, 7-10, 35-37, and 59-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 6.637,922 B2).
- nethod for altering chromaticity of the headlamp having a housing, a light source such as a neon lamp tube, an outer lens, wherein the lens comprises a polycarbonate and a photo-luminescent material such as a fluorescent element or coating (4 in Fig. 3). Lee does not teach that the illuminating beam from the headlamp has X chromaticity coordinate of 0.345 to 0.405. which is in the range of chromaticity coordinate for reddish purple, white and yellowish green. However, it has been known that fluorescent pigments typically absorb invisible ultraviolet light and reemit light somewhere in the visible spectrum in 400-700 nm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce color light having X chromaticity coordinate of 0.345 to 0.405 in order to enhance the light intensity and brightness of the headlamp.

Art Unit: 2875

- 12. Claims 4-6, 11-16, and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Burns et al. (USPT 5,605,761).
- 13. Re claims 4-6, 11-16, and 38-42: Lee does not disclose the ratio of concentration of fluorescent material as set forth in the claims 11-16 and 38-42. However, Burns teaches a polycarbonate articles containing fluorescent dye between about 0.05 and about 0.7 weight percent, and Burn teaches that the fluorescent dye is comprises of a dye selected from the group consisting of thioxanthone, perylene imide and thioindigoid compounds. Further, Burns teaches that articles with dye loading outside this range can be used in accordance with the invention (col. 3, lines 30-48). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the ratio of concentration of fluorescent dye as taught by Burns in order to avoid self-quenching that cause an undesirable decrease in fluorescent brightness (col. 3, lines 47-48).
- 14. Claims 17-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee and Burns as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of Lyons et al. (US 6,155,694). The teachings of Lee and Burns have been discussed above.
- 15. Re claims 17-34: Lee does not disclose the light source is a halogen infrared reflected light source having a low beam out and an high beam output as set forth in the claims 17-19. However, Lyons discloses a halogen headlamp and its light outputs (Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to substitute Lee's light source with Lyons' halogen headlamp because a halogen headlamp is known in the art of headlamp and the selection of these known equivalents would be within the level of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/605,511

Art Unit: 2875

skill in the art. Further, Lyons discloses protrusions formed on a major surface (36 in Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention employ Lyons' protrusions on the surface of Lee's lens in order to spread light beams from the light source.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

/ Sandra O'Shea Sugervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 6